

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/606,909		06/26/2003	Michael E. Leckrone	P-8030.03	P-8030.03 5688	
27581	7590	10/20/2005		EXAMINER		
MEDTRON	VIC, INC	· ·	HO, UYEN T			
710 MEDTR	RONIC PA	ARKWAY NE	•			
MS-LC340				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN	N 55432-5604		3731		
	,					

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Tam					
	10/606,909	LECKRONE ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<b>,</b>	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731	`					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	1 .		ess					
Period for Reply	7. F	•						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	March 2005.							
,	his action is non-final.							
* -···	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 39-45 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 39-41 and 43-45 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 42 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a								
Applicant may not request that any objection to t								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National St	age					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)					

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

1. After a careful reconsideration of this application, the previous Restriction mailed on 3/22/05 and the Office Action mailed on 6/29/05 are withdrawn. Since the Restriction was made by error, the Election filed 4/21/05 is not entered.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 40 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Vacho does not disclose a means for stabilizing. Examiner disagrees. The stabilizer in Vacho is the fixation helical member 82 which is adapted to stabilize the device at the treated site as the fixation element 82 is advanced to penetrate the myocardium. Note: The introductory statement of intended use "for stabilizing" and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Vacho's fixation element which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

## **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to because in figure 10: reference 232 pointing to inner tube 210; reference 226 not pointing to outwardly projection; in figure 11: reference 210 pointing to different parts; reference 234 was not described; reference 232 pointing to outer tube instead of inwardly projection. Corrected Application/Control Number: 10/606,909

Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3731

- 5. Claims 39, 41, 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al. (4,682,981). Suzuki et al. disclose an inner tube (3) including outwardly projections (12), an outer tube (4) including recesses (9).
- 6. Claims 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vacho (5,531,780). Vacho discloses an apparatus for delivery therapeutic drug comprising an outer tubular body (124), an inner tubular member (56) and a fluid delivery catheter (186) as claimed (figs 1-12), means for stabilizing (82).

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 42 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Art Unit: 3731

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3731

August 26, 2005